

## Relevant literature for RegPol<sup>2</sup> projects

### General literature

Agnew, J. (1982): Sociologizing the geographical imagination: spatial concepts in the world system perspective. *Political Geography Quarterly*, 1: 159-166.

Agnew, J. (1994): The Territorial Trap: The Geographical Assumptions of International Relations Theory. *Review of International Political Economy*, 1: 53-80.

Chorley, R. J. & Haggett, P. (1967): *Socio-Economic Models in Geography*. London: Methuen.

Haggett, P. (1972): *Geography: A Modern Synthesis*. New York: Harper & Row.

Harvey, D. (1996): *Justice, Nature and the Geography of Difference*. Cambridge, Mass.: Blackwell.

Healey, P. (2006): Relational complexity and the imaginative power of strategic spatial planning. In: *European Planning Studies*, 14 (4): 525-546. DOI: 0.1080/09654310500421196

Lefebvre, H. (1991): *The Production of Space*. Oxford: Blackwell.

OECD (2009): *A Framework to Measure the Progress of Societies*, Draft OECD Working Paper, September 2009. OECD: Paris.

### Regional development and regional policies

Andersson, R. et al. (2004): University Decentralisation as Regional Policy: The Swedish Experiment. In: *Journal of Economic Geography*, 4: 371-388. DOI: 10.1093/jnlecg/lbh031

Blažek, J. & Csank, P. (2007): The West-East gradient and regional development: The case of the Czech Republic. *Acta Universitatis Carolinae*, 1-2: 89-108.

Blažek, J., Vozáb, J. (2004): Institutional, organizational and programming context for support of regional development in the Czech Republic: a critique. In: Drbohlav, D., Kalvoda, J., Voženílek, V. (eds.): *Czech Geography at The Dawn of the Millenium*, Czech Geographical Society, p. 255-268.

Blazyca, G. et al. (2002): Poland – Can Regional Policy Meet the Challenge of Regional Problems? In: *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 9 (3): 263–276.

Kobayashi, K. & Westlund, H. (2013): *Social Capital and Rural Development in the Knowledge Society*. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Paasi, A. (2010): Regions are social constructs, but who or what 'constructs' them? Agency in question. In: *Environment and Planning A*, 42 (10): 2296 – 2301. DOI: 10.1068/a42232

Paasi, A. (2013): Regional Planning and the Mobilization of 'Regional Identity': From Bounded Spaces to Relational Complexity. In: *Regional Studies*, 47 (8): 1206-1219. DOI: 10.1080/00343404.2012.661410

Peet, R. and Hartwick, E. (2009): *Theories of development. Contentions, Arguments, Alternatives*. New York: The Guilford Press.

Randma-Liiv, T. & Kruusenberg, R. (2012): Policy Transfer in immature Policy Environments: Motives, Scope, Role Models and Agents. In: *Public Administration and Development*, 32 (2): 154-166. DOI: 10.1002/pad.1609

Scott, A. & Storper, M. (2003): Regions, Globalization, Development. In: *Regional Studies*, Vol. 37, 6&7: 579-593.

Yuill, D. et al. (2009): Regional Policy under Crisis Conditions: Recent Regional Policy Developments in the EU and Norway. EoRPA paper 09 (2).

Žížalová, P. & Macešková, M. (2008): Research and Development Policy in the Czech Republic: Regional Dimension? In: Siwek, T. & Baar, V. (eds.): *Globalisation and its impacts on localities*. Ostrava: University of Ostrava.

## **EU policies**

Assembly of European Regions (2010): *Cohesion in Europe. Regions take up the Challenge. AER White Paper on the Future of Cohesion Policy: Towards a territorially-based Policy for all Europeans*. Strasbourg.

Barca, F. (2009): *An Agenda for a reformed Cohesion Policy. A place-based approach to meeting European Union challenges and expectations*.

Blažek, J. & Vozáb, J. (2006): Ex-ante evaluation in the new member states: The case of the Czech Republic. *Regional Studies*, 40 (2): 237-248.

Churski, P. (2008): Structural Funds of the European Union in Poland - Experience of the First Period of Membership. *European Planning Studies*, 16 (4): 579-607.

Commission of the European Communities (2001): *European Governance. A White Paper*. Brussels.

Copus, A. K. et al. (2013): Cohesion Policy for rural areas after 2013. A rationale derived from the EDORA project (European Development Opportunities in Rural Areas) – ESPON 2013 Project 2013/1/2. In: *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, 113: 121-132.

Faludi, A. (2013): Territorial Cohesion and Subsidiarity under the European Union Treaties: A Critique of the ‘Territorialism’ Underlying. In: *Regional Studies*, 47 (9): 1594-1606. DOI: 10.1080/00343404.2012.657170

Mirwaldt, K. et al. (2008): Reconsidering Cohesion Policy: The Contested Debate on Territorial Cohesion. *EoRPA paper 08 (5)*.

## **Governance**

Davoudi, S. et al. (2008): Territorial Governance in the Making. Approaches, Methodologies, Practices. In: *Boletín de la A.G.E.*, 46: 33-52.

ESPON (2013): ESPON TANGO – Territorial Approaches for New Governance.

Faludi, A. (2012): Multi-level (Territorial) Governance: Three Criticisms. In: *Planning Theory & Practice*, 13 (2). URL: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14649357.2012.677578#.U7FqkumKDcs>

Grabbe, H. (2001): How does Europeanization affect CEE governance? Conditionality, diffusion and diversity. In: *Journal of European Public Policy*, 8 (6): 1013-1031. DOI: 10.1080/13501760110098323

Healey, P. (2006): Transforming governance: Challenges of institutional adaptation and a new politics of space. In: *European Planning Studies*, 14 (3): 299-320. DOI: 10.1080/09654310500420792

Jessop, B. (1990): *State Theory: Putting the Capitalist State in its Place*, Cambridge: Polity.

Jessop, R. D. (2006): State and regulation-theoretical perspectives on the European Union and the failure of the Lisbon agenda. In: *Competition & Change*, 10 (2): 141-161.

Kattel, R. et al. (2011): Small States, Innovation and Administrative Capacity. In: Bekkers, V. et al. (eds.): *Innovation in the Public Sector: Linking Capacity and Leadership*, International Institute of Administrative Sciences, Palgrave Macmillan.

Nõmm, K. & Randma-Liiv, T. (2012): Performance Measurement and Performance Information in New Democracies. In: *Public Management Review*. DOI:10.1080/14719037.2012.657835

OECD (2011): *OECD Public Governance Reviews: Estonia. Towards a single Government approach*.

Paavola, J. et al. (2009): Interplay of Actors, Scales, Frameworks and Regimes in the Governance of Biodiversity. In *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 2009, 19 (3): 148-158.

Shioya, M. et al. (2011): Building Adaptive Governance for Socio-Ecological Resilience and Community Response, Case Study from Japan. In *Prognostické práce*, 2011, 3 (4): 357-383.

VEGA č. 2/0016/11. Centre of Excellence for Settlement Infrastructure Development of the Knowledge Based Society SPECTRA+ (ITMS 26240120002).

Walsh, C. et al. (2012): Soft spaces in spatial planning and governance: theoretical reflections and definitional issues. Conference paper for the RSA European Conference 2012 in Delft (Netherlands). URL:

<http://www.regionalstudies.org/uploads/conferences/presentations/european-conference-2012/presentations/walsh-et-al.pdf> (state: 01.07.2014)

Weck, S. & Beißwenger, S. (2013): Coping with Peripheralization: Governance Response in Two German Small Cities, *European Planning Studies*. DOI: 10.1080/09654313.2013.819839

### **Peripheries, peripherality and regional disparities**

Becker, J. (1999): Die Peripherie in der kapitalistischen Weltwirtschaft: Kontinuitäten und Wandel im historischen Überblick. In: Parnreiter, C.; Novy, A.; Fischer, K. (eds.): *Globalisierung und Peripherie*, Wien: Brandes und Apsel.

Beetz, S. et al. (2008): Landscapes of Peripheralization in North-Eastern Germany's Countryside: New Challenges for Planning Theory and Practice. In: *International Planning Studies*, 13 (4): 295-310. DOI: 10.1080/13563470802518909

Brown, D.L. et al. (2007): Leading Sectors and Leading Regions: Economic Restructuring and Regional Inequality in Hungary since 1990. In: *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 31 (3): 522-542.

Buček, M. (1999): Regional Disparities in the Slovak Republic. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 6 (4): 360-364.

Copus, A. K. (2001): From Core-periphery to Polycentric Development: Concepts of Spatial and Aspatial Peripherality. In: *European Planning Studies*, 9 (4): 539-552.

Fischer-Tahir & Naumann (2013): *Peripheralization. The Making of Spatial dependencies and Social Injustice*. Wiesbaden: Springer VC.

Hein, W. (1998): *Unterentwicklung - Krise der Peripherie*. Opladen: Leske und Budrich.

Knappe, E. et al. (2011): Periphere Regionen in Südosteuropa und ihr Potenzial. *Geographische Rundschau*, 63 (4): 28-33.

Kühn, M. (2014): Peripheralization: Theoretical Concepts Explaining Socio-Spatial Inequalities. In: *European Planning Studies*. DOI: 10.1080/09654313.2013.862518

Máté, G. et al. (2011): Spring-block model reveals region-like structures. *PLoS ONE* 6 (2): e16518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016518.

- Nolte, H.-H. (1996): Europäische Innere Peripherien - Ähnlichkeiten, Unterschiede, Einwände zum Konzept. In: (ed.: Nolte, H.-H.) Europäische Innere Peripherien im 20. Jahrhundert. Stuttgart: 7-16.
- Paasi, A. (1995): The Social Construction of Peripherality: the Case of Finland and the Finnish-Russian Border Area. In: Eskelinen, H. & Snickars, F. (eds.): Competitive European Peripheries, Berlin et. al.: 235-258.
- Petrakos, G. et al. (2005): Regional Inequalities in the EU Enlargement Countries: An Analysis of Small Versus Large New Member States. pp 233-247. In: Felsenstein, D. & Portnov, B.A. (eds.): Regional Disparities in Small Countries. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer.
- Reiljan, J. (2010): Vergrößerung der regionalen Disparitäten der Wirtschaftsentwicklung Estlands. In: Ordnungspolitische Diskurse (Discourses in Social Market Economy), Diskurs 2010 – 03. Internet: [http://www.ordnungspolitisches-portal.com/05\\_02\\_OPO\\_Diskurse\\_2010-03.pdf](http://www.ordnungspolitisches-portal.com/05_02_OPO_Diskurse_2010-03.pdf)
- Rokkan, S. (1980): Territories, Centres, and Peripheries: Toward a geoethnic-geoeconomic-geopolitical model of differentiation within Western Europe. In: (ed.: Gottmann, J.) Centre and Periphery. Spatial Variations in Politics, Beverly Hills u. London: Sage Publications: 163-204.
- Rokkan, S. et al. (1987): Centre-Periphery Structures in Europe. An ISSC Workbook in Comparative Analysis. Frankfurt a.M./New York: Campus.
- Rykiel, Z. (1995): Polish Core and Periphery: under Economic Transformation. In: Geographia Polonica, 66: 111–124.
- Schürmann, C. et al. (2008): Disparitäten und Kohäsion in Europa – Eine Untersuchung regionaler Entwicklungsunterschiede innerhalb der EU. In: Informationskreis für Raumplanung IfR e.V.: RaumPlanung - Mitteilungen d. Informationskreises für Raumplanung (IfR) e.V, Jg. 2008, Heft 136, Dortmund: 27-31.
- Schürmann, C. & Talaat, A. (2000): Towards a European Peripherality Index. Final Report. Dortmund: Universität Dortmund. Institut für Raumplanung.
- Vorauer, K. (1997): Europäische Regionalpolitik – Regionale Disparitäten. Theoretische Fundierung, empirische Befunde und politische Entwürfe. Passau: Verlag Michael Lassleben.
- Walls, D. (1976): Central Appalachian: A Peripheral Region within an Advanced Capitalist Society. Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare: 232-246.
- Wostner, P. (2005): The Dynamics of Regional Disparities in a Small Country: The Case of Slovenia, pp. 169-185. In: Felsenstein, D. & Portnov, B. A. (eds.): Regional Disparities in Small Countries. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer.

## **Geography of cities**

Beaverstock, J.V. et al. (1999): A roster of world cities. In: *Cities*, 16: 445-458. Elsevier.

Beluszky, P. & Timár, J. (1992): The changing political-system and urban restructuring in Hungary. *Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie. Journal of Economic and Social Geography* 83 (5): 380–389.

Giffinger, R. (2005): *Competition between Cities in Central Europe: Opportunities and Risks of Cooperation*. Bratislava: Spectra Center of Excellence, 2005.

Sassen, S. (1991): *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

## **Internal colonialism**

Hechter, M. (1975): *Internal Colonialism. The Celtic Fringe in British National Development 1536-1966*. Berkely and Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Jansson, D. (2003): Internal orientalism in America. W.J. Cash's *The Mind of the South* and the spatial construction of American national identity. *Political Geography*, 22: 293-316.

Walls, D. (1978): Internal Colony or Internal Periphery. In: (ed.: Lewis, H. M.) *Colonialism In modern America: The Appalachian Case*. Boone: 319-349.

## **Economic geography**

Aligica, P.D. & Evans, A.J. (2009): *The Neoliberal Revolution in Eastern Europe: Economic Ideas in the Transition from Communism (New Thinking in Political Economy)*. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Assembly of European Regions (2009): *From Subsidiarity to Success: The Impact of Decentralisation on Economic Growth. Part 1: Creating a Decentralisation Index*.

Assembly of European Regions (2009): *From Subsidiarity to Success: The Impact of Decentralisation on Economic Growth. Part 2: Decentralisation and Economic Performance*.

Assembly of European Regions (2009): *From Subsidiarity to Success: The Impact of Decentralisation on Economic Growth. Summary and Conclusions*.

Blažek, J. & Macešková, M. (2010): Regional Analysis of Public Capital Expenditure: to which regions is public capital expenditure channelled - to "rich" or to "poor" ones? *Regional Studies*, 44 (6): 679-696. Taylor & Francis.

Boschma, R. A. & Lambooy, J. G. (1999): Evolutionary economics and economic geography. In: *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 9: 411-429.

- Bohle, D. (2006): Neoliberal Hegemony, Transnational Capital and the Terms of the EU's Eastward Expansion. In: *Capital & Class* 2006/30: 57-86.
- Dicken, P. (2003): *Global shift: Reshaping the Global Economic Map in the 21st Century*. The Guilford Press, 4th edition, London.
- Gibson-Graham, J. K. (2002): Beyond global vs. local: economic politics outside the binary frame pp. 25-60. In: Herod, A. & Wright, M. W. (eds.): *Geographies of Power: Placing Scale*.
- Grovetter, M. (1985): Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91: 481–510.
- Jessop, B. & Oosterlynck, S. (2008): Cultural Political Economy: on Making the Cultural Turn without Falling into Soft Economic Sociology. *Geoforum*, 39 (3): 1155-1169.
- Litan, M. C. (2009): On the macroeconomic impact of remittances in Romania, *Studia Universitatis Babeş Bolyai. Oeconomica*, 54 (2): 21 – 36.
- Manrai, L. A. et al. (2001): A Country-Cluster Analysis of the Distribution and Promotion Infrastructure in Central and Eastern Europe. In: *International Business Review* 10: 517–549.
- Marston, S. A. & Smith, N. (2001): States, scales and households: limits to scale thinking? A response to Brenner. *Progress in Human Geography*, 25 (4): 615–619.
- Myrdal, G. (1969): *Economic Theory and Underdeveloped Regions*. London: Methuen.
- Nölke, A. & Vlieghart, A. (2009): Enlarging the Varieties of Capitalism. The Emergence of Dependent market Economies in East Central Europe. *World Politics*, 61 (4): 670-702.
- Peck, J. (2010): *Constructions of Neoliberal Reason*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Senghaas, D. (1974a): Elemente einer Theorie des peripheren Kapitalismus. In: (ed.: Senghaas, D.) *Peripherer Kapitalismus. Analysen über Abhängigkeit und Unterentwicklung*. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.
- Senghaas, D. (1974b): *Peripherer Kapitalismus. Analysen über Abhängigkeit und Unterentwicklung*. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.
- Smith, A. (2008): Informal work and the diverse economies of “post-socialist” Europe. In: Williams, C. & Marcelli, E. (eds.): *The Informal Work of Developed Nations*. New York: Routledge.
- Smith, A. & Stenning, A. (2006): Beyond household economies: articulations and spaces of economic practice in postsocialism. *Progress in Human Geography*, 30 (2): 190–213.
- Stenning, A. et al. (2011): *Domesticating Neoliberalism. Spaces of Economic Practice and Social Reproduction in Post-socialist Cities*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.



Stiglitz, J.E. et al. (2009): Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress, Internet: [http://www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr/documents/rapport\\_anglais.pdf](http://www.stiglitz-sen-fitoussi.fr/documents/rapport_anglais.pdf)

Tickell, E. et al. (2007): *Politics and Practice in Economic Geography*. London: Sage.  
Pile, S. & Keith, M. (1997): *Geographies of resistance*. London: Routledge.

Weltrowska, J. (2002): Economic Changes and Social Polarization in Poland. In: *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 9 (1): 47–52.

## **Transition**

Bryant, C. G. A. & Mokrzycki, E. (1994): *The New Great Transformation? Change and Continuity in East–Central Europe*. London: Routledge.

Györgyi, B. et al. (2005): *Hungarian Spaces and Places: Patterns of Transition*. Pécs: Centre for Regional Studies.

Rainnie, A. et al. (2005): *Work, Employment and Transition: Restructuring Livelihoods in Post-Communism* (Routledge studies of societies in transition; 15.) London: Routledge.

Smith, A. (2003): Territorial Inequality, Regional Productivity, and Industrial Change in Postcommunism: Regional Transformations in Slovakia. In: *Environment and Planning A*, 35: 1111-1135.

Smith, A. & Timár J. (2010): Uneven transformations: Space, economy and society – 20 years after the collapse of state socialism. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 17 (2): 115–125.

Stenning, A. (2005): Post-socialism and the changing geographies of the everyday in Poland. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 30 (1): 113–127.

Stryjakiewicz, T. (2009): The Old and the New in the Geographical Pattern of the Polish Transition, *Geographica*. In: *Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis, Facultas Rerum Naturalium*, 29 (1): 5-24.

## **Social Entrepreneurship**

Austin, J. et al. (2006): *Social and Commercial Entrepreneurship: Same, Different, or Both?* In: *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*: 1-22.

Foster, W. & Bradach, J. (2005): *Should Nonprofits Seek Profits?* In: *Harvard Business Review*. Vol. February: 1-9.

Mair, J. & Martí, I. (2006): *Social entrepreneurship: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight*. In: *Journal of World Business*, 41: 36-44.



Peredo, A. M. & McLean, M. (2006): Social entrepreneurship: A critical view of the concept. In: *Journal of World Business*, 41: 56-65.

Yunus, M. et al. (2010): Building Social Business Models: Lessons from the Grameen Experience. In: *Long Range Planning*, 43: 308-325.

Zahra, S. et al. (2009): A typology of social entrepreneurs: motives, search processes and ethical challenges. In: *Journal of Business Venturing*, 24 (5): 519-532.

### **Gender-specific aspects**

Momsen, J. H. et al. (2005): Gender at the border: Entrepreneurship in rural post-socialist Hungary. Aldershot: Ashgate: 142 p. (Border regions series)